

The CERN OpenStack Cloud

Compute Resource Provisioning for the Large Hadron Collider



Jan van Eldik

for the CERN Cloud Team

OpenStack Days Nordic Stockholm September 22, 2016





Agenda

- Introduction to CERN
- Computing at CERN scale
- Cloud Service Overview
- Operations
- Performance
- Outlook



CERN: home.cern



- European Organization for Nuclear
 Research (*c*onseil *E*uropéen pour la *R*echerche *N*ucléaire)
 - Founded in 1954, today 22 member states
 - World's largest particle physics laboratory
 - Located at Franco-Swiss border near Geneva
 - ~2'300 staff members, >12'500 users
 - Budget: ~1000 MCHF (2016)

CERN's mission

- Answer fundamental questions of the universe
- Advance the technology frontiers
- Train the scientists and engineers of tomorrow
- Bring nations together







The Large Hadron Collider (LHC)



Largest machine on earth: 27km circumference



LHC: 9'600 Magnets for Beam Control



1232 superconducting dipoles for bending: 14m, 35t, 8.3T, 12kA



LHC: Coldest Temperature



World's largest cryogenic system: colder than outer space (1.9K/2.7K), 120t of He



LHC: Highest Vacuum



Vacuum system: 104 km of pipes, 10⁻¹⁰-10⁻¹¹ mbar (comparable to the moon)



LHC: Detectors



Four main experiments to study the fundamental properties of the universe





~ 300.000 MB/s from all sub-detectors

Trigger and data acquisition



~ 300MB/s Raw Data

Event filter computer farm



Tier 0 at CERN: Acquisition, First pass reconstruction, Storage & Distribution



Solution: the Grid

 Use the Grid to unite computing resources of particle physics institutes around the world

The **World Wide Web** provides seamless access to information that is stored in many millions of different geographical locations

The **Grid** is an infrastructure that provides seamless access to computing power and data storage capacity distributed over the globe





LHC: World-wide Computing Grid

TIER-0 (CERN): data recording, reconstruction and distribution

TIER-1: permanent storage, re-processing, analysis

TIER-2: simulation, end-user analysis







LHC: Data & Compute Growth



Collisions produce ~1 PB/s







2012: Enter the cloud

- Aim: virtualize all the machines
 - Unless really, really, really not possible
- Offer Cloud endpoints to users
- Scale horizontally
- Consolidate server provisioning
 - Yes, use the private cloud for server consolidation usecases as well



OpenStack at CERN



In production:

- 4 clouds
- >200K cores
- >8,000
 hypervisors

90% of CERN's compute resources are now delivered on top of OpenStack





Cloud Service Context

CERN IT to enable the laboratory to fulfill its mission

- Main data center on the Geneva site
- Wigner data center, Budapest, 23ms distance
- Connected via two dedicated 100Gbs links
- CERN Cloud Service one of the three major components in IT's AI project



http://goo.gl/maps/K5SoG

mitaka

- Policy: Servers in CERN IT shall be virtual
- Based on OpenStack
 - Production service since July 2013
 - Performed 4 rolling upgrades since
 - Currently in transition from Liberty to Mitaka
 - Nova, Glance, Keystone, Horizon, Cinder, Ceilometer, Heat, Neutron, Magnum, Barbican







CERN Cloud Architecture (1)

- Deployment spans our two data centers
 - 1 region (to have 1 API), ~40 cells
 - Cells map use cases hardware, hypervisor type, location, users, ...



- Top cell on physical and virtual nodes in HA
 - Clustered RabbitMQ with mirrored queues
 - API servers are VMs in various child cells
- Child cell controllers are OpenStack VMs
 - One controller per cell
 - Tradeoff between complexity and failure impact



CERN Cloud Architecture (2)





CERN Cloud in Numbers (1)

- ~6700 hypervisors in production
 - Split over 40+ Nova cells
 - Vast majority qemu/kvm now on CERN CentOS 7 (~150 Hyper-V hosts)
 - ~2'100 HVs at Wigner in Hungary (batch, compute, services)
 - 370 HVs on critical power
- 190k Cores
- ~430 TB RAM
- ~20'000 VMs
- Big increase during 2016!
 - +57k cores in spring
 - +40k cores in autumn







CERN Cloud in Numbers (2)



Every 10s a VM gets created or deleted in our cloud!

- 2'700 images/snapshots
 - Glance on Ceph
- 2'300 volumes
 - Cinder on Ceph (& NetApp) in GVA & Wigner



Only issue during 2 years in prod: Ceph Issue 6480



Software Deployment

- Deployment based on CentOS and RDO
 - Upstream, only patched where necessary (e.g. nova/neutron for CERN networks)
 - Some few customizations
 - Works well for us
- Puppet for config' management
 - Introduced with the adoption of AI paradigm
- We submit upstream whenever possible
 - openstack, openstack-puppet, RDO, ...

- **puppet**
- Updates done service-by-service over several months
 - Running services on dedicated (virtual) servers helps (Exception: ceilometer and nova on compute nodes)
- Upgrade testing done with packstack and devstack
 - Depends on service: from simple DB upgrades to full shadow installations





The CERN OpenStack Cloud - OpenStack Days Nordic - Sept 22,2016

'dev' environment

- Simulate the full CERN cloud environment on your laptop, even offline
- Docker containers in a Kubernetes cluster
 - Clone of central Puppet configuration
 - Mock-up of
 - our two Ceph clusters
 - our secret store
 - our network DB & DHCP
 - Central DB & Rabbit instances
 - One POD per service
- Change & test in seconds
- Full upgrade testing







Cloud Service Release Evolution



(*) Pilot



Rich Usage Spectrum ...

- **Batch service** Platform Computing Physics data analysis an IBM Company **IT** Services Sometimes built on top of other puppet virtualised services Experiment services elastic E.g. build machines FOREMAN **Engineering services** E.g. micro-electronics/chip design CouchD
- Infrastructure services
 - E.g. hostel booking, car rental, ...
- Personal VMs
 - Development

... rich requirement spectrum!

HTC

Hiah Throughput Computi

openstack

RabbitMO

GitLab



OPENSHIFT

🔛 Jenkins

Usecases (1)

Server consolidation:

- Service nodes, dev boxes, Personal VMs, ...
- Performance less important than "durability"
 - Live-migration is desirable
- Persistent block storage is required
- Linux VM @ KVM, Windows VMs @ HyperV
 - Starting to run Win VMs under KVM
- "Pets usecase": 32K cores, 7500 VMs



Usecases (2)

- Compute workloads
 - Optimize for compute efficiency
 - CPU passthrough, NUMA aware flavours
 - Still, very different workloads
 - IT Batch: LSF and HTCondor, longlived VMs, 8 and 16-core VMs, "full-node" flavors
 - CMS Tier-0: medium-long, 8-core VMs
 - LHCb Vcycle: short-lived, single core VMs
 - Low-SLA, "cattle usecase"
 - 150K cores, 12500 VMs @ 6000 compute nodes



Wide Hardware Spectrum

- The ~6700 hypervisors differ in ...
 - Processor architectures: AMD vs. Intel (av. features, NUMA, ...)
 - Core-to-RAM ratio (1:2, 1:4, 1:1.5, ...)
 - Core-to-disk ratio (going down with SSDs!)
 - Disk layout (2 HDDs, 3 HDDs, 2 HDDs + 1 SSD, 2 SSDs, ...)
 - Network (1GbE vs 10 GbE)
 - Critical vs physics power
 - Physical location (Geneva vs. Budapest)
 - Network domain (LCG vs. GPN vs. TN)
 - CERN CentOS 7, RHEL7, SLC6, Windows
 - ...
- Variety reflected/accessible via instance types, cells, projects ... variety not necessarily visible to users!
 - We try to keep things simple and hide some of the complexity
 - We can react to (most of the) requests with special needs



Basic Building Blocks: Instance Types

Name	vCPUs	RAM [GB]	Ephemeral [GB]
m2.small	1	1.875	10
m2.medium	2	3.750	20
m2.large	4	7.5	40
m2.xlarge	8	15	80
m2.2xlarge	16	30	160
m2.3xlarge	32	60	320

~80 flavors in total (swap, additional/large ephemerals, core-to-RAM, ...)



Basic Building Blocks: Volume Types

Name	IOPS	b/w [MB/s]	feature	Location	Backend
standard	100	80		GVA	🗑 ceph
io1	500	120	fast	GVA	🗑 ceph
cp1	100	80	critical	GVA	🗑 ceph
cpio1	500	120	critical/fast	GVA	🗑 ceph
cp2	n.a.	120	critical/Windows	GVA	NetApp
wig-cp1	100	80	critical	WIG	🗑 ceph
wig-cpio1	500	120	critical/fast	WIG	🗑 ceph

m2.* flavor family plus volumes as basic building blocks for services



Automate provisioning with **ERUNDECK**

Automate routine procedures

- Common place for workflows
- Clean web interface
- Scheduled jobs, cron-style
- Traceability and auditing
- Fine-grained access control

Procedures for

- OpenStack project creation
- OpenStack quota changes
- Notifications of VM owners
- Usage and health reports







Operations: Retirement Campaign

- About 1'600 nodes to retire from the service by 3Q16
 - ~1'200 from compute, ~400 with services (hosting ~5000 VMs)
- We have gained quite some experience with (manual) migration
 - Live where possible and cold where necessary
 - Works reliably (where it can)
- We have developed a tool that you can instruct to drain hypervisor (or simply migrate given VMs)
 - Main tasks are VM classification and progress monitoring
 - The nova scheduler will pick the target (nova patch)
- We are using the "IP service bridging" to handle CERN network specifics



Operations: Network Migration

- We'll need to replace nova-network
 - It's going to be deprecated (really really really this time)



- New potential features (Tenant networks, LBaaS, Floating IPs, ...)
- We have a number of patches to adapt to the CERN network constraints
 - We patched nova for each release ...
 - ... neutron allows for out-of-tree plugins!



Operations: Neutron Status

- We have ~5 Neutron cells in production
 - Neutron control plane in Liberty (fully HA)
 - Bridge agent in Kilo (nova)
- And it is very stable
 - All new cells will be Neutron cells
- <u>"As mentioned, there is currently no way to</u> <u>cleanly migrate from nova-network to neutron."</u>
 - All efforts to establish a general migration path failed so far
 - Should be OK for us, various options (incl. in-place, w/ migration, ...)



Operations: Containers

- Magnum: OpenStack project to treat Container Orchestration Engines (COEs) as 1st class resources
- Pre-production service available
 - Support for Docker Swarm, Kubernetes, Mesos
- Many users interested, usage ramping up
 - GitLab CI, Jupyter/Swan, FTS, ...







Operations: Federated Clouds



- Access to EduGAIN users via Horizon
 - Allow (limited) access given appropriate membership



The CERN OpenStack Cloud - OpenStack Days Nordic - Sept 22,2016

CeduGAIN

CPU Performance Issues

- The benchmarks on full-node VMs was about 20% lower than the one of the underlying host
 - Smaller VMs much better
- Investigated various tuning options
 - KSM*, EPT**, PAE, Pinning, ... +hardware type dependencies
 - Discrepancy down to ~10% between virtual and physical
- Comparison with Hyper-V: no general issue
 - Loss w/o tuning ~3% (full-node), <1% for small VMs
 - ... NUMA-awareness!



**EPT on/off: beware of memory reclaim! **EPT on/off: beware of expensive page table walks!



CPU: NUMA



- NUMA-awareness identified as most efficient setting
 - Full node VMs have ~3% overhead in HS06
- "EPT-off" side-effect
 - Small number of hosts, but very visible there
- Use 2MB Huge Pages
 - Keep the "EPT off" performance gain with "EPT on"
- More details in this <u>talk</u>







Operations: NUMA/THP Roll-out

- Rolled out on ~2'000 batch hypervisors (~6'000 VMs)
 - HP allocation as boot parameter \rightarrow reboot
 - VM NUMA awareness as flavor metadata \rightarrow delete/recreate
- Cell-by-cell (~200 hosts):
 - Queue-reshuffle to minimize resource impact
 - Draining & deletion of batch VMs
 - Hypervisor reconfiguration (Puppet) & reboot
 - Recreation of batch VMs
- Whole update took about 8 weeks
 - Organized between batch and cloud teams
 - No performance issue observed since





Future Plans

- Investigate Ironic (Bare metal provisioning)
 - OpenStack as one interface for compute resource provisioning
 - Allow for complete accounting
 - Use physical machines for containers
- Replace Hyper-V by qemu/kvm
 - Windows expertise is a scarce resource in our team
 - Reduce complexity in service setup







Summary

- OpenStack at CERN in production since 3 years
 - We're working closely with the various communities
 - OpenStack, Ceph, RDO, Puppet, ...
- Cloud service continues to grow and mature
 - While experimental, good experience with Nova cells for scaling
 - Experience gained helps with general resource provisioning
 - New features added (containers, identity federation)
 - Expansion planned (bare metal provisioning)
- Confronting some major operational challenges
 - Transparent retirement of service hosts
 - Replacement of network layer
- <u>http://openstack-in-production.blogspot.com</u> (read about our recent 2M req/s Magnum & Kubernetes!)



